

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	100/27/70	ARMSTRONG		ii		
BRAD A ARMSTRONG P O BOX 1419		MM71/0426 —		EXAMINER EASTHUM, K		
PARADISE CA	95967			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2832	3	
				DATE MAILE	): 04/26/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 09/106,825

Applicant(s)

**Armstrong** 

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Karl Easthom

Group Art Unit 2832

	Ш		Ш	1011

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).					
Disposition of Claims					
Of the above, claim(s)					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers    See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing     The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  f the priority documents have been  mber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	√ 48				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 09/106825

Art Unit: 2832

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology such as "comprising". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kambic (IBMTDB). Kambic discloses the claimed invention at the sole figure.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kambic (IBMTDB) in view of Fujita. Kambic discloses the claimed invention at the sole figure except

Application/Control Number: 09/106825

Art Unit: 2832

for conductive elements exposed at least in part external of a housing and except for a housing of nonconductive plastics as regards claim 4. Fujita discloses the claimed housing 5,9 and terminals 4, 4' in Figs. 1-3 for the purpose of avoiding shock and providing electrical access. The housing is plastic where it is so hatched. It would have been obvious in view of Fujita to employ the nonconductive plastics housing and exposed terminals in the Kambic device for the purpose of avoiding shock and providing electrical access.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murata (GB 2113920), Parsons, Teruo, Gilano, Hyodo, Tsuji et al., Nestor, Brandenburg et al., Kramer, and Pine et al. disclose variable resistors or switches.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthorn whose telephone number is (703) 308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Gellner, can be reached on (703) 308-1721. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1721.

KDE 4/20/99

Michael L. Gellner Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800